arXiv:1606.06052v1 [math.AG] 20 Jun 2016

THE CHOW RING OF THE STACK OF SMOOTH PLANE CUBICS.

DAMIANO FULGHESU AND ANGELO VISTOLI

Abstract. In this paper we give an explicit presentation of the integral Chow ring of a stack of smooth plane cubics. We also determine some relations in the general case of hypersurfaces of any dimension and degree.

1. Introduction

Equivariant intersection theory was introduced by D. Edidin and W. Graham in [**Ed-Gr1**]; it is of considerable interest, as it gives an intrinsic integer-valued intersection theory on quotient stacks. In particular, if $\mathcal{X}$ is a quotient stack $[U/G]$, where U is a smooth scheme of finite type over a field k and G is an affine algebraic group on k, we obtain a Chow ring $A^*_G(U) = A^*(\mathcal{X})$, which only depends on $\mathcal{X}$ and not on the presentation of $\mathcal{X}$ as a quotient stack. If $\mathcal{X}$ is Deligne–Mumford, or, equivalently, the action of G on U has finite reduced stabilizers, then $A^*(\mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ coincides with the rational Chow ring of $\mathcal{X}$, which had been earlier studied by several authors ([**Mum**, **Gil**, **Vis89**]).

The ring $A^*(\mathcal{X})$ is usually much harder to compute than $A^*(\mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; for example, consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_g$ of smooth curves of genus g, with $g \geq 2$; the ring $A^*(\mathcal{M}_g)$ has been computed only for $g = 2$ [**Vis98**] (notice that in this case $A^*(\mathcal{M}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$), while $A^*(\mathcal{M}_g) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is known for $g \leq 6$ ([**Mum**, **Fab**, **Iza**, **PV**]), and, more importantly, it is the subject of an extensive theory that has no parallel for integral Chow rings.

On the positive side, the ring $A^*(\mathcal{X})$ has been computed when $\mathcal{X}$ is the stack of smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus g, when g is a positive even number, and when $\mathcal{X}$ is the stack of rational nodal curves with at most one node.

In all these calculations the essential point is the determination of the Chow ring of certain stacks of hypersurfaces. More precisely, let n and d be positive integers. We define a stack $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}$ as follows: an object of $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}$ over a k-scheme S consists of a vector bundle F of rank n, and a Cartier divisor $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(F)$ whose restriction to every fiber is a hypersurface of degree d (here, as everywhere else, we follow [**Ful**] and use the classical convention for the projectivization of a vector bundle, so our $\mathbb{P}(F)$ would be denoted by $\mathbb{P}(F^\vee)$ in Grothendieck's convention).

An alternate description of $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}$ is as follows. Denote by $W_{n,d}$ the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in n variables, with its natural action of GL_n. Set $P_{n,d} = \mathbb{P}(W_{n,d})$; so $P_{n,d}$ is the projective space of hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. If $Z \subseteq P_{n,d}$ is the discriminant locus, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,d} = [(P_{n,d} \smallsetminus Z)/\mathrm{GL}_n]\,.$$

By standard facts of equivariant intersection theory, this gives a set of generators for the ring $A^*(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}) = A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(P_{n,d} \smallsetminus Z)$, which are the Chern classes $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ of the tautological representation of GL_n, and $h = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{P_{n,d}}(1)\big)$. The relations among these generators $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, and h are obtained from the classes of the image of the pushforward $A_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Z) \to A_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(P_{n,d})$.

A set of natural relations are obtained as follows. Let $\widetilde{Z} \subseteq P_{n,d} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the reduced subscheme consisting of pairs (X, p), where X is a hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, and p is a singular point of X. Then Z is the image of $\widetilde{Z}$ in $P_{n,d}$, hence every class in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})$ when pushed down to $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(P_{n,d})$ gives a relation in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(P_{n,d})$. The push forward of the Chow ring $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})$ is easily determined (see Theorem 5.5); this gives

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C15 (primary), 14L30, 14D23 (secondary).

The first author was partially supported by Scuola Normale Superiore and by Simons Foundation grant #360311. The secondo author was partially supported by research funds from the Scuola Normale Superiore (project SNS13VISTB) and from the PRIN project "Geometria delle Varietà Algebriche".

certain relations $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \ldots, c_n, h]$. When $d = 2$ or $n = 2$ it is proved in [**Pan**, **Ed-Fu1**, **Ed-Fu2**] that $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ generate the ideal of relations, so that

$$A^*(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \ldots, c_n, h]/(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\,.$$

In the general case, it is easy to see that the α_i generate the ideal of relation of the generators in $A^*(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (see Remark 5.6).

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the first case that is not covered by the theorem above, namely $A^*(\mathcal{X}_{3,3})$. The stack $\mathcal{X}_{3,3}$ can alternatively be thought of as the stack in which an object (C, L) over a k-scheme S is a family $C \to S$ of smooth curves of genus 1, together with an invertible sheaf L on X whose degree when restricted to every fiber is 3.

It turns out the α_i's are not sufficient to generate the whole ideal of relations, but we need to add a polynomial $\delta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, c_3, h]$ of degree 2, with the property that $2\delta_2 \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. The following is our main result.

Main Theorem. *The ring $A^*(\mathcal{X}_{3,3})$ is a quotient*

$$\mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, c_3, h]/(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= 12(h_3 - c_1)\\
\alpha_2 &= 6h_3^2 - 4h_3c_1 - 6c_2\\
\alpha_3 &= h_3^3 - h_3^2c_1 + h_3c_2 - 9c_3\\
\delta_2 &= 21h_3^2 - 42h_3c_1 + 9c_2 + 18c_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\delta_2 \notin (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, while $2\delta_2 \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$.

The next natural case to be considered is $A^*(\mathcal{X}_{3,4})$, which is a current work in progress. This is particularly interesting, since it would allow to determine the integral Chow ring of $\mathcal{M}_3 \smallsetminus \mathcal{H}_3$, that is to say the stack of smooth non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3.

Description of Content. Section 2 introduces basic notation and review some general results about GL_n-equivariant Chow groups, which constitute the fundamental tools used in the proof of the Main Theorem given above. In particular, we give a reduction to torus action (Lemma 2.2), an explicit form of torus equivariant hyperplane classes (Lemma 2.6), and the explicit localization theorem for torus actions (Theorem 2.7).

In Section 3 we give an explicit presentation of the ring $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{P}(W_{n,d}))$ (see exact sequence (1)) and we provide an explicit formula for the δ classes of reducible cubics of a given topological type (see Proposition 3.4).

We devote Section 4 to define the discriminant locus $Z \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}(W_{n,d})$ and the universal locus $\widetilde{Z} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}(W_{n,d} \times \mathbb{P}(E)$ of singular points, together with a birational map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{Z} \to Z$.

Our main goal is to write explicit generators for the ideal $I_Z := i_* \left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Z)\right)$ in terms of $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, and the hyperplane class h_d. As a preliminary step, we determine generators for the ideal $I_{\widetilde{Z}} := i_*\pi_{1*} \left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})\right)$ (see Section 5, in particular, Theorem 5.5). More precisely, we have the inclusion $I_{\widetilde{Z}} \subseteq I_Z$. In the case of quadrics ($d = 2$) or effective divisors of the projective line ($n = 2$), the equality $I_{\widetilde{Z}} = I_Z$ holds (see Theorem 5.7). However, this is not true in general, as we show by determining I_Z in the case of plane cubics which is the goal of Section 6.

We split the proof of the Main Theorem into several steps. The basic principle is explained in detail in Remark 6.6. The idea is to provide a stratification of Z into topological types (see Definition 6.1) and then prove that the push forward of classes of each stratum into $\mathbb{P}(W_{n,d})$ is in the ideal $(I_{\widetilde{Z}}, \delta_2)$ up to classes in strata of higher codimension. Explicit localization (Theorem 2.7) is used to determine the push forward of two δ classes (see Propositions 6.10 and 6.16). In this two cases, complete computations have been carried out by using Maple 16.

2. Preliminaries on GL_n-Equivariant Chow Groups

2.1. **Equivariant Polynomials.** We work on a base field k of characteristic 0 or greater than a fixed integer $d \geq 2$. Let $n \geq 2$ be another integer and let E be the standard representation of GL_n. The stack $[E^\vee/\mathrm{GL}_n]$ is a vector bundle over $B\mathrm{GL}_n$ whose Chern roots are $l_1, \ldots, l_n$. On the other hand, let $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be the Chern classes of $[E/\mathrm{GL}_n]$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -(l_1 + \cdots + l_n) \\ &\cdots \\ c_i &= (-1)^i s_i(l_1, \ldots, l_n) \\ &\cdots \\ c_n &= (-1)^n l_1 \ldots l_n \end{aligned}$$

where $s_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the i^{th} symmetric polynomial in n variables. Let T be the maximal torus for GL_n represented by diagonal matrices. The total character of the T-module E can be expressed as a sum of linearly independent characters $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, therefore we have $A_T^* = \mathbb{Z}[c_1(\lambda_1), \ldots, c_1(\lambda_1)]$. According to our notation, we have $l_i = -c_1(\lambda_i)$ and we will identify A_T^* with $\mathbb{Z}[l_1, \ldots, l_n]$. Similarly, we can see the Weyl group S_n as acting on A_T^* by permuting the classes l_i and we have $A_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^* = (A_T^*)^{S_n}$. Throughout this paper, we will make use of polynomials in several variables with coefficients in A_T^* or $A_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^*$.

We define the set $\mathcal{P}_d$ of (unordered) partitions of d. For example

$$\mathcal{P}_4 := \{\{4\}, \{3,1\}, \{2,2\}, \{2,1,1\}, \{1,1,1,1\}\}$$

Now, let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_d$, we define the set

$$\mathbb{N}^n(\mu) := \{v \in \mathbb{N}^n |\ \mu = \{v_i \neq 0\}\}$$

in other words, we say that a vector $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is in $\mathbb{N}^n(\mu)$ if the set of non zero entries of v is μ.

We also define, for every natural number $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\mathbb{N}^n(q) := \{v \in \mathbb{N}^n |\ |v| = q\}.$$

Definition 2.1. We follow the above notation. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_d$, we define the following polynomial in $A_T^*[x]$

$$P_\mu(x) := \prod_{v \,\in\, \mathbb{N}^n(\mu)} (x + v \cdot l)$$

where l is the vector $< l_1, \ldots, l_n >$ in the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module A_T^1.

For example, let $n = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\{2,1,1\}}(x) &= (x + 2l_1 + l_2 + l_3)(x + l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3)(x + l_1 + l_2 + 2l_3); \\ P_{\{3,1\}}(x) &= (x + 3l_1 + l_2)(x + 3l_1 + l_3)(x + 3l_2 + l_1)(x + 3l_2 + l_3)(x + 3l_3 + l_1)(x + 3l_3 + l_2). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for every μ, the polynomial $P_\mu(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the classes l_i, therefore it can be written as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[c_1, \ldots, c_n][x] = A_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^*[x]$. We will make effective use of polynomials $P_\mu(x)$ in Proposition 5.8.

2.2. **Reduction to the Torus Action.** We will use the following algebraic lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a special algebraic group and let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus.*

I) Let X be a smooth G-space and let $I \subset A_G^*(X)$ be an ideal, then

$$IA_T^*(X) \cap A_G^*(X) = I.$$

II) Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a set of variables and let $I \subset A_G^*[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be an ideal, then

$$IA_T^*[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \cap A_G^*[x_1, \ldots, x_r] = I.$$

Proof. From [**Ed-Fu2**] Proposition 2.2 we have that $A^*_G(X)$ is a (non-canonical) summand of the $A^*_G(X)$-module $A^*_T(X)$. Now, in general, if $R \subset S$ is a ring extension, R is a summand of S, and $I \subset R$ is an ideal, then $IS \cap R = I$ (see, for example, [**Ho-Ea**] Propositions 9 and 10). This concludes part I).

For part II), we first apply part I) by considering $X = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$. Consequently A^*_G is a summand of A^*_T. Now let us write $A^*_T \cong A^*_G \oplus M$ for some submodule M. Then we have (use induction on r in [**At-Ma**] Exercise 6 Chapter 2)

$$A^*_T[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \cong A^*_G[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \otimes_{A^*_G} A^*_T \cong A^*_G[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \oplus M[x_1, \ldots, x_r].$$

□

Remark 2.3. We will apply the above Lemma in the following way. Let $\gamma \in A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(X)$ (resp. $\gamma \in A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[X]$) and let $I \subset A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(X)$ (resp. $I \subset A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[X]$) be an ideal. If $\gamma \in IA^*_T(X)$, then $\gamma \in I$.

2.3. **Equivariant Intersection Theory on Projective Spaces.** Let W be a GL_n-representation of dimension q. The vector space W is equipped with an induced T-action. We have also a canonical action of GL_n (resp. T) on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Let $h = c_1\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)\right)$ and let $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ be the Chern roots of W. Let G be either GL_n or T. We have an exact sequence of A^*_G-modules (see [**Ed-Fu2**] Lemma 2.3)

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow A^*_G[x] \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ev}_h]{} A^*_G(\mathbb{P}(W)) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ev_h is the evaluation morphism at h and J is the principal ideal generated by the polynomial $P(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{q}(x + r_i)$.

Remark 2.4. The Chern roots r_i are linear combinations of $l_1, \ldots, l_n$. However, $P(x)$ can be written as a polynomial with coefficients in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$.

Since $P(x)$ is monic of degree q, $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{P}(W))$ is an A^*_G-module freely generated by the set $\{h^i |\ 0 \leq i < q\}$. So we can define a splitting morphism $\psi : A^*_G(\mathbb{P}(W)) \to A^*_G[x]$ of A^*_G-modules as follows.

Definition 2.5. Let $\gamma \in A^*_G(\mathbb{P}(W))$. We define $\psi(\gamma) := Q(x)$, where $Q(x)$ is the unique polynomial in $A^*_G[x]$ whose degree in x is less than q and $Q(h) = \gamma$.

We now recall two results which will be used extensively later in order to perform computations.

Lemma 2.6. *([**Ed-Fu2**] Lemma 2.4) Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ be a T-invariant hypersurface defined by a homogeneous equation $F = 0$ of degree d such that $z \cdot F = \chi^{-1}(z)F$ for some character $\chi : T \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Then we have the following identity in $A^*_T(\mathbb{P}(W))$:*

$$[H]_T = c_1^T\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(d)\right) + c_1(\chi)$$

Theorem 2.7. *([**Ed-Gr2**] Theorem 2) We define the A^*_T-module $\mathcal{Q} := \left((A^*_T)^+\right)^{-1} A^*_T$. Let X be a smooth T-variety and consider the locus $F \subset X$ of fixed points for the action of T. Let $\bigcup_{j\in I} F_j = F$ be the decomposition of F into irreducible components. For every $\gamma \in A^T_*(X) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ we have the identity*

$$\gamma = \sum_{j\in I} i_{j*}\frac{i_j^*(\gamma)}{c^T_{top}\left(N_{F_j}X\right)}$$

where i_j is the inclusion $F_j \to X$.

3. Equivariant Classes on the Space of Hypersurfaces

Let $W_d := \mathrm{Sym}^d(E^\vee)$ and Δ_d the degeneracy locus of singular d-forms. Let $N := \dim_k W_d = \binom{n+d-1}{d}$. We point out that, in order to simplify the notation, we define W_d what we called $W_{n,d}$ in the Introduction, with the implicit assumption that E is the standard representation of GL_n. We fix a set of coordinates

$$\{a_v\}_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n \text{ s.t. } |v|=d},$$

where a_v represents the coefficient of the monomial X^v and $X = [X_0, \ldots, X_{n-1}]$ is a coordinate system for $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

On $\mathbb{P}(W_d)$ we have the natural action of GL_n:

$$(A \cdot [f])(X) = [f](A^{-1}X).$$

Let h_d be the hyperplane class associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W_d)}(1)$. We have the splitting exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(P_{[d]}(x)\right) \longrightarrow A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[x] \underset{\mathrm{ev}_{h_d}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where ψ is as in Definition 2.5 and $P_{[d]}(x)$ is the polynomial

$$P_{[d]}(x) = \prod_{\mu \,\in\, \mathcal{P}_d} P_\mu(x) = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d)} (x + v \cdot l).$$

Our next goal is to determine an explicit formula (see Proposition 3.4) for the equivariant classes of the loci of different types of reducible hypersurfaces. In order to do that, we need to introduce some notation.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_d$ be an (unordered) partition of d. We will think of μ either as a multiset as well as an s-tuple $(k_1, \ldots, k_s)$, where:

- s is the number of elements of the multiset μ;
- $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_s$;
- $k_1 + \cdots + k_s = d$.

For every natural number q, we define $\mu(q)$ to be the frequency of q in μ.

Definition 3.1. For every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_d$, we call δ_μ the equivariant class of the locus of reducible hypersurfaces of degree d which are union over the integers $q = 1, \ldots, d$ of $\mu(q)$ hypersurfaces of degree q.

We also define the variety

$$W_\mu := \prod_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{P}(W_{k_j}) = \prod_{q=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}(W_q)^{\mu(q)}$$

and the product map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi_\mu : W_\mu & \to & \mathbb{P}(W_d) \\ (f_1, \ldots, f_s) & \mapsto & f_1 f_2 \ldots f_s. \end{array}$$

It is worth to notice that the degree $\deg(\pi_\mu)$ of a product map π_μ is $\prod_{q=1}^{d} \mu(q)!$.

Remark 3.2. For every positive integer d, the irreducible components of the fixed locus for the action of T on $\mathbb{P}(W_d)$ are the points $\{Q_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d)}$, where, for every $v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d)$, the only coordinate of Q_v different from 0 is a_v. Each point Q_v is the complete intersection of the coordinate hyperplanes $a_w = 0$ with $w \neq v$. By using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$[Q_v] = \left.\frac{P_{[d]}(x)}{x + v \cdot l}\right|_{x = h_d} = \prod_{w \in \mathbb{N}^n(d) \text{ s.t. } w \neq v} (h_d + v \cdot l).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $v_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n(d)$. We have the following identity*

$$c^T_{top}\left(T_{Q_{v_0}} \mathbb{P}(W_d)\right) = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d) \ s.t. \ v \neq v_0} (v - v_0) \cdot l.$$

Proof. Since the coordinate a_{v_0} of Q_{v_0} is different from zero, we can reduce our computations to local coordinates

$$\left\{ \overline{a}_v := \frac{a_v}{a_{v_0}} \right\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d) \text{ s.t. } v \neq v_0}.$$

Such coordinates are the same as the coordinates of the tangent space at Q_{v_0}. Therefore, the action of T on $T_{Q_{v_0}} \mathbb{P}(W_d)$ is

$$t \cdot (\overline{a}_v)_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d) \text{ s.t. } v \neq v_0} = \left(\lambda^{v_0 - v}(t) \overline{a}_v\right)_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n(d) \text{ s.t. } v \neq v_0}$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is the vector of standard characters for the action of T on E. Consequently, according to our notation, we get

$$c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{v_0}}\mathbb{P}(W_d)\right) = \prod_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n(d) \text{ s.t. } v\neq v_0} (v - v_0)\cdot l.$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *We have the following identity:*

$$\delta_\mu = \frac{1}{\deg(\pi_\mu)} \sum_{(v_1,\ldots,v_s)\in\mathbb{N}^n(k_1)\times\cdots\times\mathbb{N}^n(k_s)} \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n(d)\ s.t.\ v\neq v_1+\cdots+v_s} (h_d + v\cdot l)}{\displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\prod_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n(k_j)\ s.t.\ v\neq v_j} (v - v_j)\cdot l\right)} \tag{2}$$

Proof. Consider the map π_μ. Since $\pi_{\mu *}(1) = \deg(\pi_\mu)\delta_\mu$ and the ring $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\right)$ is torsion free, we have

$$\delta_\mu = \frac{1}{\deg(\pi_\mu)}\pi_{\mu *}(1).$$

Now, in order to determine $\pi_{\mu *}(1)$, we apply Theorem 2.7. The fixed points of W_μ are

$$\left\{(Q_{v_1}, \ldots, Q_{v_s})\right\}_{(v_1,\ldots,v_s)\in\mathbb{N}^n(k_1)\times\cdots\times\mathbb{N}^n(k_s)}.$$

Morever $\pi_\mu\left(Q_{v_1}, \ldots, Q_{v_s}\right) = Q_{v_1+\cdots+v_s}$.

By combining the above relations with Theorem 2.7, Remark 3.2, and Lemma 3.3, we get formula (2). □

4. The Universal Locus of Singular Points

Define $Z := \mathbb{P}(\Delta_d) \subset \mathbb{P}(W_d)$ and consider the universal hypersurface $U \subset \mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)$. We have the following equivariant projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \subset \mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \\ \mathbb{P}(W_d) & & \end{array}$$

The hypersurface U is given by the bihomogeneous equation of bidegree $(1, n)$

$$F(X) := \sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n(d)} a_v X^v$$

where $X = [X_0, \ldots, X_{n-1}]$ is a coordinate system for $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

In $\mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)$ we also define the subvariety $\widetilde{Z}$ given by equations $\{F_{X_i}(X)\}_{i=0,\ldots,n-1}$. Notice that the restriction morphism $\widetilde{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z$ is generically 1:1, since the generic singular hypersurface has exactly one nodal point. An easy dimensional argument shows that $\widetilde{Z}$ is a complete intersection subvariety.

Now, with abuse of notation, we call i both inclusion maps $\widetilde{Z} \to \mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $Z \to \mathbb{P}(W_d)$. Moreover, we define $h_d := \pi_1^*(h_d)$ and $t := \pi_2^*(t)$, where t is the hyperplane class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$.

We would like to write explicit generators for the ideal $I_Z := i_*\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Z)\right)$ in terms of $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, and h_d. As a preliminary step, we determine generators for the ideal $I_{\widetilde{Z}} := \pi_{1*}i_*\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})\right)$ (Section 5). More precisely, we have the inclusion $I_{\widetilde{Z}} \subseteq I_Z$. In the case of quadrics ($d = 2$) or effective divisors of the projective line ($n = 2$), the equality $I_{\widetilde{Z}} = I_Z$ holds (see Theorem 5.7). However, this is not true in general, as we show by determining I_Z in the case of plane cubics (Section 6).

5. The Ideal $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$

The main goal of this section is to determine a set of generators for the ideal $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *We have an exact sequence of $A^*_{GL_n}$-modules.*

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(P_{[d]}(x), P_{\{1\}}(-y)\right) \longrightarrow A^*_{GL_n}[x,y] \underset{ev_{(h_d,t)}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{GL_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $ev_{(h_d,t)}$ is the evaluation of x (resp. y) in h_d (resp. t) and ψ is a splitting morphism.

Proof. From [**Ful**] Example 8.3.7 and [**Ed-Gr1**] Section 2.5, we have an exterior product ring homomorphism

$$A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(X)\otimes A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Y)\to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(X\times Y)$$

whenever X and Y are non-singular varieties and this homomorphism is an isomorphism if one of the two non-singular varieties is a projective space. Consequently, $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)\right)$ is an $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$-module freely generated by the set $\{h_d^i t^j |\ 0\le i<N, 0\le j<n\}$. In order to define the splitting morphism ψ, let $\gamma\in A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)\right)$. There is a unique polynomial $Q(x,y)\in A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[x,y]$ whose degree in x (resp. y) is less than N (resp. n) and $Q(h_d,t)=\gamma$. We define $\psi(\gamma):=Q(x,y)$. It is again straightforward to prove that ψ is a splitting morphism. □

Proposition 5.2. *The ideal $i_*\left(A^*_{GL_n}(\widetilde{Z})\right)$ is generated by the class $[\widetilde{Z}]_{GL_n}$*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & \mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} \\ & & \mathbb{P}(E) \end{array}$$

First of all, notice that the subscheme $\widetilde{Z}$ is equivariant for the action of GL_n. Moreover, $\widetilde{Z}$ is a complete intersection of n equations which are linear in the W_d coordinates and such equations remain linearly independent on each fiber of pr. Therefore, we have that $\widetilde{Z}$ is the projectivization of an equivariant subbundle of $W_d\times\mathbb{P}(E)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$. Consequently, $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})$ is generated by the set $\{i^*\left(h^j\right)\mid 0\le j<N\}$ as $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(E)\right)$-module. This means that $i_*\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z})\right)$ is generated by the set $\{h^j[\widetilde{Z}]_{\mathrm{GL}_n}|\ 0\le j<N\}$ as a module and by $[\widetilde{Z}]_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ as an ideal. □

Proposition 5.3. *We have*

$$[\widetilde{Z}]_{GL_n}=P_{\{1\}}(h+(d-1)t)$$

Proof. Since GL_n is special, by using Lemma 2.2 part I), we may perform our computations by restricting to A^*_T.

Recall that $\widetilde{Z}$ is the complete intersection of hyperplanes given by polynomials

$$F_{X_i}(X)=\sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n(d)} v_i a_v X^{v-\widehat{i}}$$

for $i=0,\dots,n-1$, where $\widehat{i}$ is the vector having 1 as the i^{th} entry and 0 everywhere else. We will call these hyperplanes F_i. From Lemma 2.6 we have

$$[F_i]_T=h+(d-1)t+l_i$$

since $\lambda_i^{-1}F_i=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\cdot F_i$. We conclude by noticing that $[\widetilde{Z}]_T=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}[F_i]_T$. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let γ be a class in $A^*_{GL_n}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_d)\times\mathbb{P}(E)\right)$ and let $Q(x,y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}g_i(x)y^i$ be $\psi(\gamma)$ as defined in Theorem 5.1. Then we have the identity $\pi_{1*}(\gamma)=g_{n-1}(h_d)$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{P}(W_d) \times \mathbb{P}(E)) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{P}(W_d))$ is a homomorphism of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$-modules, then it is enough to determine $\pi_{1*}(h_d^i t^j)$ with $i = 0 \dots n-1$ and $j = 0 \dots n-1$. So we are reduced to the non-equivariant case. If $j < n-1$ we would have a positive dimensional fiber, then $\pi_{1*}(h_d^i t^j) = 0$. On the other hand, $\pi_{1*}(h_d^i t^{n-1}) = h_d^i$. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let $Q_{[d]}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x) y^{n-i}$ be the polynomial $\psi\left([\widetilde{Z}]\right)$. We have*

$$I_{\widetilde{Z}} = (\alpha_1(h_d), \dots, \alpha_n(h_d)).$$

Proof. As a preliminary remark, notice that we have the identity

$$Q_{[d]}(x,y) := P_{\{1\}}(x + (d-1)y) - (-(d-1))^n P_{\{1\}}(-y).$$

Let J be the ideal in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{P}(W_d))$ generated by the classes $\alpha_i(h_d)$. From Lemma 5.4, we have that $\alpha_1(h_d) = \pi_{1*}\left([\widetilde{Z}]\right)$. If $i > 1$, let us define the polynomial $Q_i(x,y) := \psi\left([\widetilde{Z}] \cdot t^{i-1}\right)$. Let us write $Q_i(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) y^{n-i}$ for some polynomials $g_i(x)$ in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[x]$ of degree less than N. We have, after straightforward computations,

$$\pi_{1*}\left([\widetilde{Z}] \cdot t^{i-1}\right) = g_i(h_d) = \alpha_i(h_d) + \rho_i(h_d)$$

for some polynomial $\rho_i(x)$ in the ideal $(\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_{i-1}(x))$ of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. Therefore, by induction, $\alpha_i(h_d) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently, we have $J \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

On the other hand, let γ be a class in $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$, from Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\gamma = \pi_{1*}\left(B(h_d, t) \cdot Q_{[d]}(h_d, t)\right)$$

for some polynomial $B(x,y) \in A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[x,y]$. Now, it is straightforward to check that every y-coefficient of $\psi\left(B(h_d,t) \cdot Q_{[d]}(h_d,t)\right)$, is in the ideal generated by the set $\{\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x)\}$. Therefore we have that γ is in J and $I_{\widetilde{Z}} \subseteq J$.

□

Remark 5.6. Throughout this paper, we are interested in integral coefficients. However it is worth to notice that, since the map $\widetilde{Z} \to Z$ is birational, the push-forward morphism

$$\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\widetilde{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective. Consequently we have

$$i_*\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(Z)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (\alpha_1(h_d), \dots, \alpha_n(h_d)).$$

As we already mentioned, the ideal $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ is not, in general, the whole ideal I_Z. However, the ideal I_Z has been already determined for quadrics (see [**Ed-Fu1**] and [**Pan**]) and effective divisors of the projective line (see [**Ed-Fu2**]). More precisely we have the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *If $d = 2$ or $n = 2$, then $I_{\widetilde{Z}} = I_Z$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, then the classes $\alpha_i(h_2)$ of $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ are exactly the classes of degree i of $Q_{[d]}(h_2, 1)$ and they are equal to the classes α_i of [**Ed-Fu1**] Proposition 13.

If $n = 2$, then $\alpha_1(h_d) = 2(d-1)h_d - d(d-1)c_1$ and $\alpha_2(h_d) = h_d^2 - c_1 h_d - d(d-2)c_2$ and these correspond to the classes $\alpha_{1,0}$ and $\alpha_{1,1}$ of [**Ed-Fu2**] Lemma 13 which by Theorem 19 generate the ideal I_Z. □

We conclude this section by showing that $P_{[d]}(x)$ is in the ideal $(\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x))$.

Proposition 5.8. *We have $P_{[d]}(x) \in (\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x))$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, we have the statement implicitly from [**Ed-Fu1**] Proposition 13.

If $d > 2$, we have the following identity

$$P_{\{d\}}(x) \cdot P_{\{d-1,1\}}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n Q_{[d]}(x, l_i)$$

therefore the polynomial $P_{[d]}(x)$ is a multiple of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} Q_{[d]}(x, l_i)$. Thus, it is enough to show that, in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_n}[x]$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} Q_{[d]}(x, l_i) \in (\alpha_1(x), \ldots, \alpha_n(x)).$$

This is clearly true in $A^*_T[x]$ and we conclude by using Lemma 2.2 part II). □

6. The Case of Plane Cubics

We consider now the special case of plane cubics, namely the case $n = 3$ and $d = 3$. In particular, we will give the following minimal set of generators for the ideal I_Z:

$$I_Z = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2) \tag{3}$$

where, for simplicity's sake, $\alpha_i := \alpha_i(h_3)$ and δ_2 is the class of the locus of cubics which are the union of a line and a conic. This is also the first case where $I_{\widetilde{Z}} \neq I_Z$.

First of all, we write explicitly the classes α_i by using Theorem 5.5:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 12(h_3 - c_1) \\ \alpha_2 &= 6h_3^2 - 4h_3c_1 - 6c_2 \\ \alpha_3 &= h_3^3 - h_3^2c_1 + h_3c_2 - 9c_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Definition 6.1. We consider the following loci in Z:

- Z_1 is the locus of reduced and irreducible singular cubics (with exactly one singular point);
- Z_2 is the locus of cubics which are union of a smooth conic and a line with two distinct intersection points;
- Z_3 is the union of two components:
  - $Z_{(3,1)}$ is the locus of cubics which are union of a smooth conic and a line tangent to the conic;
  - $Z_{(3,2)}$ is the locus of cubics which are union of three distinct lines with three distinct intersection points;
- Z_4 is the locus of cubics which are union of three distinct lines passing through the same point.

Remark 6.2. All the Z_i are smooth and locally closed in $\mathbb{P}(W_3)$. Furthermore, we have that Z is the closure of Z_1 in $\mathbb{P}(W_3)$. We also observe that $\overline{Z}_{(3,1)} \cap \overline{Z}_{(3,2)} = \overline{Z_4}$. Moreover, we have chosen the indexes in such a way that Z_i has codimension i in $\mathbb{P}(W_3)$. Finally, we observe that all the above loci are invariant for the action of GL_3.

Definition 6.3. We define the *topological classes* corresponding to the above loci: $\delta_i := [\overline{Z}_i]$.

Remark 6.4. We have $[Z] = \delta_1 = \alpha_1$.

Definition 6.5. We define the following maps (see Section 3):

- $\pi_2 := \pi_{\{1,2\}} : \mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(W_2) \to \mathbb{P}(W_3)$ where $(f, g) \mapsto f \cdot g$
- $\pi_3 := \pi_{\{1,1,1\}} : \mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3} \to \mathbb{P}(W_3)$ where $(f, g, h) \mapsto f \cdot g \cdot h$

Recall that we have already defined the map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{Z} \to Z$ (see Section 4). We notice that, for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\pi_i) = \overline{Z}_i$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\pi_3) = \overline{Z}_{(3,2)}$. Also, all these maps are invariant for the action of GL_3. Moreover, π_1 and π_2 are birational to their images.

Remark 6.6. The proof of identity (3) is split into several steps. We rely on the following basic principle. Let

$$Y_n \subset Y_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset Y_1 \subset X$$

be a sequence of closed G-subspaces of a smooth G-space X ordered by inclusion, and let I be an ideal in $A^*_G(X)$. We call i all the closed inclusions $Y_k \to X$ and $Y_k \smallsetminus Y_{k+1} \to X \smallsetminus Y_{k+1}$, while we denote with j the open inclusions $X \smallsetminus Y_k \to X$.

Then, in order to show the inclusion $i_*\left(A^G_*(Y_1)\right) \subset I$ it is enough to show that $i_*\left(A^G_*(Y_n)\right) \subset I$ and that, for all $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$, we have $i_*\left(A^G_*(Y_k \smallsetminus Y_{k+1})\right) \subset j^*(I) \subset A^*_G(X \smallsetminus Y_{k+1})$.

In our case, we show first the inclusion $i_*\left(A^{\mathrm{GL}_3}_*\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,1)}\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. This will also imply that $i_*\left(A^{\mathrm{GL}_3}_*\left(\overline{Z}_4\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. Then we can prove the inclusion $i_*\left(A^{\mathrm{GL}_3}_*\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,2)}\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ by restricting to the open set $\mathbb{P}(W_3) \smallsetminus \overline{Z}_4$. At this

point we will have that $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\overline{Z}_3\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ and we can show the inclusion $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\overline{Z}_2\right)\right) \subseteq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$ by restricting to the open set $\mathbb{P}(W_3) \smallsetminus \overline{Z}_3$, which will conclude the proof of identity (3).

6.1. **The ideal $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathbf{GL}_3}\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,1)}\right)\right)$ is contained in $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.**

Proposition 6.7. *Let us define $\partial Z_4 := \overline{Z}_4 \smallsetminus Z_4$. We have the inclusion*

$$i_*\left(A_*^{GL_3}\left(\partial Z_4\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}.$$

Proof. The algebraic set ∂Z_4 can be stratified as $Z_5 \sqcup Z_6$, where

- Z_5 is the locus of cubics which are union of a double line and a single distinct line;
- Z_6 is the locus of triple lines.

Notice that $\partial Z_4 = \overline{Z}_5$. We also define $\widetilde{Z}_5 = \pi_1^{-1}(Z_5)$ and $\widetilde{Z}_6 = \pi_1^{-1}(Z_6)$.
We refer to the following commutative diagram of GL_3-equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widetilde{Z}_6 & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{\widetilde{Z}}_5 & \xrightarrow{i} & \widetilde{Z} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\
Z_6 & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{Z}_5 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(W_3)
\end{array}$$

Because of commutativity of the above diagram and the basic principle explained in Remark 6.6, it is enough to prove that the homomorphisms $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(\widetilde{Z}_6) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(Z_6)$ and $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(\widetilde{Z}_5) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(Z_5)$ are surjective. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} & \widetilde{Z}_6 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\
\mathbb{P}(W_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z_6
\end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{V}$ is the incidence variety if $\mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(E)$ and the map ψ (resp. $\widetilde{\psi}$) sends $[l]$ (resp. $([l], P)$) to $[l^3]$ (resp. $([l^3], P)$). In particular $\pi_1 : \widetilde{V} \to \mathbb{P}(W_1)$ is a projective bundle, therefore $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}(\widetilde{V}) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}(\mathbb{P}(W_1))$ is surjective. Moreover, the maps ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ are geometrically bijective and a straightforward computation shows that their induced Jacobian maps are injective (here we use the fact that $\mathrm{char}(k) > 3$). Therefore, the maps ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ are isomorphisms and consequently $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(\widetilde{Z}_6) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(Z_6)$ is surjective.

To show that $\pi_{1*} : A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(\widetilde{Z}_5) \to A^*_{\mathrm{GL}3}(Z_5)$ is surjective, we apply a similar argument to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} & \widetilde{Z}_5 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\
(\mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(W_1) \smallsetminus D) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z_5
\end{array}$$

where D is the diagonal, $\widetilde{V}$ is the incidence variety (with respect to the first component) in $(\mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(W_1) \smallsetminus D) \times \mathbb{P}(E)$ and the map ψ (resp. $\widetilde{\psi}$) sends $[l], [w]$ (resp. $([l], [w], P)$) to $[l^2 w]$ (resp. $([l^2 w], P)$).

□

Proposition 6.8. *The restriction map*

$$\widetilde{V} := \widetilde{Z}\Big|_{Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4$$

is an equivariant Chow envelope.

Proof. Recall that an equivariant Chow envelope of a G-scheme X is a proper G-equivariant morphism $f : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that, for every G-invariant subvariety $W \subset X$, there is a G-invariant subvariety $\widetilde{W} \subset f^{-1}(W)$ whose restriction morphism $f : \widetilde{W} \to W$ is birational.

We already know that the map

$$\widetilde{V} := \widetilde{Z}\Big|_{Z_{(3,1)}\cup Z_4} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4$$

is proper and GL_3-equivariant.

It is enough to show the statement for each of the two components $Z_{(3,1)}$ and Z_4. Since the poofs are very similar, we only show the case $Z_{(3,1)}$.

We first prove the corresponding non-equivariant statement (see [**Vis98**] Lemma 3.2). Let W be a subvariety in $Z_{(3,1)}$. Let ω be the generic point of W. The point ω is represented by a cubic form f in $K[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ for some extension $k \subset K$. By definition f is the product of a linear and a quadratic form with only one singular point. Therefore there is a unique K-valued point $\widetilde{\omega}$ in $\widetilde{Z}_{(3,1)}$ mapping to ω. In order to conclude, define $\widetilde{W} := \overline{\widetilde{\omega}}$.

Now, let us assume that W is GL_3-equivariant. By following a similar argument as in the proof of [**Ed-Fu2**] Proposition 4.1, we show that it is possible to choose $\widetilde{W}$ to be GL_3-equivariant. Since there is a unique generic point mapping to ω, for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_3$, $\widetilde{W}$ and $g\widetilde{W}$ must have the same generic point. Therefore $\widetilde{W}$ must contain a GL_3-equivariant open set $\widetilde{U}$. Consequently, we can choose the closure of $\widetilde{U}$.

□

Corollary 6.9. *We have the inclusion*

$$i_*\left(A_*^{GL_3}\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,1)}\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}.$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram of proper maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{Z}|_{\overline{Z}_{(3,1)}} & \xrightarrow{i} & \widetilde{Z} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ \overline{Z}_{(3,1)} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(W_3) \end{array}$$

Since, from Proposition 6.8, the map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{V} \to Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4$ is an equivariant Chow envelope, we have from [**Ed-Gr1**] Lemma 3 and [**Ful**] Lemma 18.3(6), that the push-forward $\pi_{1*} : A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\widetilde{V}\right) \to A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4\right)$ is surjective.

By commutativity of the above diagram, we have

$$i_*\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(Z_{(3,1)} \cup Z_4\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}.$$

We conclude by recalling that, from Proposition 6.7, we have $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\partial Z_4\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ and by using the basic principle of Remark 6.6.

□

6.2. **The ideal $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathbf{GL}_3}\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,2)}\right)\right)$ is contained in $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.** Let us consider the product map

$$\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3} \xrightarrow{\pi_3} \overline{Z}_{(3,2)}.$$

We call ξ_1, ξ_2, and ξ_3 the three hyperplane classes corresponding to the pull-back of hyperplane classes through the three different projections from $\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}$ to $\mathbb{P}(W_1)$. By arguing as in Proposition 5.1, we have a splitting exact sequence of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(P_{\{1\}}(y_1), P_{\{1\}}(y_2), P_{\{1\}}(y_3)\right) \longrightarrow A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}[y_1, y_2, y_3] \underset{\mathrm{ev}_{(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In order to prove the inclusion $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\overline{Z}_{(3,2)}\right)\right) \subseteq I_{\widetilde{Z}}$, we show first, by using the explicit localization theorem (see Theorem 2.7), that $i_*\left(\delta_{(3,2)}\right) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$ (where, we recall, $\delta_{(3,2)} := [\overline{Z}_{(3,2)}]$).

Proposition 6.10. *We have the identity*

$$\delta_{(3,2)} = \left((h_3 - c_1)^2 + c_2\right)\alpha_1 - c_1\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We refer to Section 3. Since $\delta_{(3,2)} = \delta_{\{1,1,1\}}$, we evaluate formula (2) for $\mu = \{1,1,1\}$ and $d = 3$.

As preliminary computations we get

$$\begin{aligned} c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(1,0,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_2 - l_1)(l_3 - l_1) \\ c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,1,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_1 - l_2)(l_3 - l_2) \\ c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,0,1)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_1 - l_3)(l_2 - l_3) \end{aligned}$$

Now, straightforward computations show the following relation

$$\delta_{(3,2)} = 15h_3^3 - 45c_1h_3^2 + \left(40c_1^2 + 15c_2\right)h_3 - 12c_1^3 - 6c_1c_2 - 27c_3.$$

and, consequently, identity (5). □

Definition 6.11. Let X be a G-space and let H be a finite group acting (properly) on X, such that the action of H commutes with the action of G. We say that two classes $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in A^*_G(X)$ are H-equivalent if, for some $f \in H$, we have $f_*\left(\gamma_1\right) = \gamma_2$.

Proposition 6.12. *We have the inclusion*

$$\pi_{3*}\left(A_*^{GL_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right)\right) \subset I_{\widetilde{Z}}$$

Proof. The free $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-module $\psi\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials $y_1^{v_1}y_2^{v_2}y_3^{v_3}$ such that every non-negative integer v_i is less than 3. Therefore, we are reduced to consider the push-forward of the classes $\xi_1^{v_1}\xi_2^{v_2}\xi_3^{v_3}$ where each v_i is either 0, 1, or 2. Moreover $\pi_3^*\left(h_3\right) = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and, by applying the push-pull formula, we have that $\pi_{3*}\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right)\right)$ is generated by the classes $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^{v_2}\xi_2^{v_2}\right)$. Now, we notice that the morphism π_3 is S_3-equivariant, where the action on $\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}$ is permuting the three component and the action on $\overline{Z}_{(3,2)}$ is trivial. Therefore, for every $f \in S_3$ we have a commutative diagram



This means, in particular, that if $\gamma := \xi_1^{v_1}\xi_2^{v_2}$ and $\gamma' := \xi_1^{v_1'}\xi_2^{v_2'}$ are S_3-equivalent, then $\pi_3\left(\gamma\right) = \pi_3\left(\gamma'\right)$. Consequently, we can see that $\pi_{3*}\left(A_*^{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right)\right)$ is generated by the classes $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^{v_2}\xi_2^{v_2}\right)$ with $2 \geq v_1 \geq v_2 \geq 0$. We consider each of the six cases separately.

- $\pi_{3*}\left(1\right) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  Since $\pi_{3*}\left(1\right) = 6\delta_{(3,2)}$, this case is covered in Proposition 6.10.
- $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\right) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  Consider the identities $\pi_3^*\left(h_3\right) = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and $\pi_{3*}(\xi_1) = \pi_{3*}(\xi_2) = \pi_{3*}(\xi_3)$. By applying the push-pull formula we get $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\right) = 2h_3\delta_{(3,2)}$.
- $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  By using Lemma 2.2, we can restrict our computations to A^*_T. Let us define $S_1 \subset \mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}$ as the locus where the first two lines pass through $[1,0,0]$. We apply Lemma 2.6 to get

$$[S_1] = (\xi_1 + l_1)(\xi_2 + l_1) = \xi_1\xi_2 + l_1(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + l_1^2 \in A^*_T\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\right)$$

We have the following commutative diagram



where $\widetilde{\pi}_3$ maps (f,g,h) to $(fgh,[1,0,0])$. By definition, we have that $\widetilde{\pi}_3(S_1)\subset\widetilde{Z}$, therefore, since the above diagram is commutative, we have $\pi_{3*}([S_1])\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}A_T^*\left(\mathbb{P}(W_3)\right)$. More explicitly, we have

$$\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right)+2l_1\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\right)+l_1^2\delta_{(3,2)}\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}A_T^*\left(\mathbb{P}(W_3)\right).$$

Therefore $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}A_T^*\left(\mathbb{P}(W_3)\right)$. From the argument of Remark 2.3, we have $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

- $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  Consider the identities
  $$\begin{aligned}&\pi_3^*(h_3^2)=\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2+2(\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_1\xi_3+\xi_2\xi_3),\\&\pi_{3*}(\xi_1^2)=\pi_{3*}(\xi_2^2)=\pi_{3*}(\xi_3^2),\\&\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2)=\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_3)=\pi_{3*}(\xi_2\xi_3).\end{aligned}$$
  . By applying the push-pull formula we get $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\right)=2h_3^2\delta_{(3,2)}-2\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2)$.
- $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  We argue exactly as in the proof of $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. In this case, we chose S_1 to be the locus where the first line passes through $[1,0,0]$ and $[0,1,0]$, while the second line passes through $[1,0,0]$. We get
  $$[S_1]=(\xi_1+l_1)(\xi_1+l_2)(\xi_2+l_1).$$
  Again, the map π_3 factor through $\widetilde{\pi}_3$ and a simple computation shows that $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
- $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\xi_2^2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  We argue again as in the proof of $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1\xi_2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. In this case, we chose S_1 to be the locus where the first line passes through $[1,0,0]$ and $[0,1,0]$, while the second line passes through $[1,0,0]$ and $[0,0,1]$. We get
  $$[S_1]=(\xi_1+l_1)(\xi_1+l_2)(\xi_2+l_1)(\xi_2+l_3).$$
  As above, the map π_3 factor through $\widetilde{\pi}_3$ and a simple computation shows that $\pi_{3*}\left(\xi_1^2\xi_2^2\right)\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

□

Since we already know that $i_*\left(A_*^G\left(\overline{Z}_4\right)\right)$ is contained in $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$, we can restrict to $Z_{(3,2)}$. We will call U_3 the quasi-projective variety $\mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}\big|_{Z_{(3,2)}}$ and we will keep the same notation for all the classes.

Definition 6.13. Let $R[x_1,\ldots,x_q]$ be a polynomial ring and let H be a finite group acting linearly on it. The symmetrization of an element $\sigma\in R[x_1,\ldots,x_q]$, written $\varphi_H(\sigma)$, is the sum of all the elements in the H-orbit of σ.

Proposition 6.14. *Let γ be a class in $A_*^{GL_3}\left(Z_{(3,2)}\right)$. Then $2\gamma\in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.*

Proof. First of all, notice that $\pi_{3*}\pi_3^*(\gamma)=6\gamma$. Now, for every $f\in S_3$, we have that the following diagram



is commutative and consequently $\pi_3^*(\gamma)=f^*\pi_3^*(\gamma)$. In particular, the class $\pi_3^*(\gamma)$ must be symmetric in ξ_1,ξ_2, and ξ_3. Therefore, it is enough to show that the push-forward of every symmetric class in $A_{\mathrm{GL}_3}^*\left(U_3\right)$ belongs to the ideal $3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. We notice that $\left(A_{\mathrm{GL}_3}^*\left(\mathbb{P}(U_3)^{\times 3}\right)\right)^{S_3}$ is generated by the symmetrization of the classes $\xi^v:=\xi_1^{v_1}\xi_2^{v_2}\xi_3^{v_3}$ for some integral vector $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ such that $2\geq v_1\geq v_2\geq v_3\geq 0$. Moreover, by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 6.12 we get

$$\pi_{3*}\left(\varphi_{S_3}(\xi^v)\right)=\#\mathrm{orb}\left(\xi^v\right)\pi_{3*}\left(\xi^v\right)\in\#\mathrm{orb}\left(\xi^v\right)I_{\widetilde{Z}}$$

where $\#\mathrm{orb}\left(\xi^v\right)$ is the cardinality of the S_3-orbit of ξ^v. If the entries of v are all different (namely the case $v=(2,1,0)$), then $\#\mathrm{orb}\left(\xi^v\right)=6$. On the other hand, if the entries of v are two equal and one different from the other two, then $\#\mathrm{orb}\left(\xi^v\right)=3$. Therefore we are reduced to check the three cases $\pi_{3*}(1),\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)$, and $\pi_{3*}(\xi_1^2\xi_2^2\xi_3^2)$.

- $\pi_{3*}(1) \in 3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  Again, since $\pi_{3*}(1) = 6\delta_{(3,2)}$, this case is covered in Proposition 6.10.
- $\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3) \in 3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  By using Lemma 2.2, we can restrict our computations to A^*_T. Let us define $S_1 \subset \mathbb{P}(W_1)^{\times 3}$ as the locus where all three lines pass through $[1,0,0]$. We apply Lemma 2.6 to get
  $$[S_1] = (\xi_1 + l_1)(\xi_2 + l_1)(\xi_3 + l_1) = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + l_1(\xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_1\xi_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) + l_1^2(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) + l_1^3$$
  Since $S_1 \subset \pi_3^{-1}(\overline{Z}_4)$, the restriction of $[S_1]$ to U_3 is zero and its push-forward must be zero as well. Therefore, on the restriction to U_3, we have
  $$\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3) = -l_1\pi_{3*}(\xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_1\xi_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) - l_1^2\pi_{3*}(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) - l_1^3\delta_{(3,2)}$$
  and we already know that the right hand side is in $3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
- $\pi_{3*}(\xi_1^2\xi_2^2\xi_3^2) \in 3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.
  We argue exactly as above. In this case, we choose S_1 to be the locus where all three lines pass through $[1,0,0]$ and $[0,0,1]$. This means that the point S_1 is in the locus of triple lines. A straightforward computation as above shows that $\pi_{3*}(\xi_1^2\xi_2^2\xi_3^2) \in 3I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

□

Corollary 6.15. *Let γ be a class in $A^{GL_3}_*\left(Z_{(3,2)}\right)$. Then $\gamma \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.*

Proof. Let γ be a class in $A^{\mathrm{GL}_3}_*\left(Z_{(3,2)}\right)$. Since the restriction map
$$\widetilde{Z}\Big|_{Z_{(3,2)}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z_{(3,2)}$$
is a finite covering of order 3, we have that 3γ is in the ideal of the alpha classes. Moreover, from Proposition 6.14, $2\gamma \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. Therefore, $\gamma = 3\gamma - 2\gamma \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$. □

6.3. **The ideal $i_*\left(A^{\mathbf{GL}_3}_*\left(\overline{Z}_2\right)\right)$ is contained in $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$.** Let us consider the map
$$\mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(W_2) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{Z}_2.$$
We call h_1 and h_2 the two hyperplane classes corresponding to the pull-back of hyperplane classes through the two projections:



By arguing as in Proposition 5.1, we have a splitting exact sequence of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(P_{\{1\}}(x), P_{[2]}(y)\right) \longrightarrow A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}[x,y] \underset{\mathrm{ev}_{(h_1,h_2)}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(W_2)\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

Let us determine first the class δ_2.

Proposition 6.16. *We have the identity*
$$\delta_2 = 21h_3^2 - 42h_3c_1 + 9c_2 + 18c_1^2 \tag{6}$$
Moreover, the classes $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2$ are a set of independent generators for the ideal $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$.

Proof. We refer to Section 3. Since $\delta_2 = \delta_{\{1,2\}}$, we evaluate formula (2) for $\mu = \{1,2\}$ and $d = 3$.
As preliminary computations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(1,0,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_2-l_1)(l_3-l_1),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,1,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_1-l_2)(l_3-l_2),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,0,1)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_1\right)\right) &= (l_1-l_3)(l_2-l_3),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(2,0,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= 4(l_2-l_1)^2(l_3-l_1)^2(l_2+l_3-2l_1),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,2,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= 4(l_1-l_2)^2(l_3-l_2)^2(l_1+l_3-2l_2),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,0,2)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= 4(l_1-l_3)^2(l_2-l_3)^2(l_1+l_2-2l_3),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(1,1,0)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= -(l_1-l_2)^2(2l_3-l_1-l_2)(l_3-l_1)(l_3-l_2),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(1,0,1)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= -(l_1-l_3)^2(2l_2-l_1-l_3)(l_2-l_1)(l_2-l_3),\\
c^T_{\text{top}}\left(T_{Q_{(0,1,1)}}\mathbb{P}\left(W_2\right)\right) &= -(l_2-l_3)^2(2l_1-l_2-l_3)(l_1-l_2)(l_1-l_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Straightforward computations show the identity we want to prove

$$\delta_2 = 21h_3^2 - 42h_3c_1 + 9c_2 + 18c_1^2.$$

Now, our goal is to prove that the classes $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2$ are a set of independent generators for the ideal $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$.

First of all, notice that it is enough to consider the homogeneous ideal $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$ up to degree two. By working mod 2 we see that δ_2 is not in the ideal (α_1, α_2). On the other hand, by considering the classes mod 3, we see that α_2 is not in the ideal (α_1, δ_2). □

Remark 6.17. From the identity

$$2\delta_2 = (5h_3 - 3c_1)\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2$$

we have that $2\delta_2 \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}$.

Proposition 6.18. *We have the inclusion*

$$i_*\left(A_*^{GL_3}\left(\overline{Z}_2\right)\right) \subset (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)\,.$$

Proof. Since we already know that $i_*\left(A_*^{G}\left(\overline{Z}_3\right)\right)$ is contained in $I_{\widetilde{Z}}$, we can restrict to Z_2. First of all, notice that the restriction map:

$$U_2 := \mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)|_{Z_2} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Z_2$$

is an isomorphism, therefore π_{2*} is an isomorphism of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules. Consequently, it is enough to check that the push-forward of classes in $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}(U_2)$ are contained in the restriction of $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)$ to Z_2. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\pi_{2*}\left(A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\right)\right) \subset (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)\,.$$

We call h_1 and h_2 the two hyperplane classes corresponding to the pull-back of hyperplane classes through the two projections from $\mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)$ to $\mathbb{P}(W_1)$ and to $\mathbb{P}(W_2)$. By arguing as in Proposition 5.1, we have a splitting exact sequence of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(P_{\{1\}}(x), P_{[2]}(y)\right) \longrightarrow A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}[x,y] \underset{\mathrm{ev}_{(h_1,h_2)}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The free $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-module $\psi\left(A^*_{GL_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\right)\right)$ is generated by monomial $x^{v_1}y^{v_2}$ such that $0 \le v_1 \le 2$ and $0 \le v_2 \le 5$. Moreover $\pi_2^*(h_3) = h_1 + h_2$ and, by applying the push-pull formula, it is enough to evaluate $\pi_{2*}(h_1)$ and $\pi_{2*}\left(h_1^2\right)$. We also have the identity

$$\pi_{2*}(h_1) + \pi_{2*}(h_2) = h_3\delta_2. \tag{7}$$

Now, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\times\mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_2} & \mathbb{P}(W_3)\times\mathbb{P}(E) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ \mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{P}(W_3) \end{array}$$

where σ_2 is the natural projection and $\widetilde{\pi}_2$ is the lifting of π_2. We also have a splitting exact sequence of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \big(P_{[1]}(x), P_{[2]}(y), P_{[1]}(-z)\big) \longrightarrow A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}[x,y,z] \underset{\mathrm{ev}_{(h_1,h_2,t)}}{\overset{\psi}{\rightleftarrows}} A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}\left(\mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\times\mathbb{P}(E)\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $S_2 \subset \mathbb{P}(W_1)\times\mathbb{P}(W_2)\times\mathbb{P}(E)$ be the locus of points of intersection between a linear form and a quadratic form. S_2 is the complete intersection of the hypersurfaces given by equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^3(1)} a_v X^v &= 0, \\ \sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^3(2)} a_v X^v &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.2, we can restrict our computations to A^*_T. We can therefore apply Lemma 2.6 to get

$$[S_2] = (h_1+t)(h_2+2t).$$

On the other hand, we have the inclusion $\widetilde{\pi}_2(S_2) \subset \widetilde{Z}$. Let γ be any multiple of the class $[S_2]$. By commutativity of the above diagram, we have

$$\pi_{2*}\left(\sigma_{2*}(\gamma)\right) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}.$$

Now, we choose $\gamma := t\cdot[S_2]$. A simple computation shows that

$$\psi(\gamma) = (2x+y-2c_1)z^2 + (xy-2c_2)z - 2c_3.$$

By arguing as in Lemma 5.4, we get that $\sigma_{2*}(\gamma)$ is the coefficient of z^2 evaluated at (h_1,h_2), that is to say $\sigma_{2*}(\gamma) = 2h_1 + h_2 - 2c_1$. In particular we get

$$2\pi_{2*}(h_1) + \pi_{2*}(h_2) - 2c_1\delta_2 \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}. \tag{8}$$

By combining identities (7) and (8), we get

$$\pi_{2*}(h_1) \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)\,.$$

In order to determine $\pi_{2*}(h_1^2)$ we first apply the push-pull formula to get

$$\pi_{2*}(h_1^2) + 2\pi_{2*}(h_1h_2) + \pi_{2*}(h_2^2) = h_3^2\delta_2. \tag{9}$$

By arguing as above, we have

$$\sigma_{2*}\left(h_2 t\,[S_2]\right) = 2h_1h_2 + h_2^2 - 2c_1h_2$$

and, consequently

$$2\pi_{2*}(h_1h_2) + \pi_{2*}(h_2^2) - 2c_1\pi_{2*}(h_2) \in I_{\widetilde{Z}}.$$

Combining with identity (9) we get

$$\pi_{2*}(h_1^2) \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \delta_2)\,.$$

□

6.4. **The ideal $i_*\left(A_*^{\mathbf{GL}_3}(Z)\right)$ is contained in $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\delta_2)$.** Since we already know that $i_*\left(A_*^G\left(\overline{Z}_2\right)\right)$ is contained in $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\delta_2)$, we can restrict to Z_1. Notice that Z_1 has a stratification given by the locus of nodal cubics Z_1' and the locus of cubics with a cusp Z_1''. First of all, notice that the restriction map:

$$\widetilde{Z}\Big|_{Z_1'} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z_1'$$

is an isomorphism, therefore π_{1*} is an isomorphism of $A^*_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$-modules.

Let us now consider the restriction map:

$$\widetilde{Z}\Big|_{Z_1''} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Z_1''.$$

A simple calculation shows that the length of the fibers is two and since $\mathrm{char}(k)>2$ it follows that the map is a Chow envelope.

We then argue as in Corollary 6.9 to conclude the proof of the following Theorem.

Theorem 6.19. *Assume that the base field k has characteristic different from 2 and 3. Then*

$$i_*\left(A_*^{GL_3}(Z)\right)=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\delta_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1&=12(h_3-c_1)\\ \alpha_2&=6h_3^2-4h_3c_1-6c_2\\ \alpha_3&=h_3^3-h_3^2c_1+h_3c_2-9c_3\\ \delta_2&=21h_3^2-42h_3c_1+9c_2+18c_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

The Main Theorem in the Introduction follows immediately.

References

[**At-Ma**] M. Atiyah, I. Macdonald: *Introduction to commutative algebra.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. 1969.

[**Ed-Fu1**] D. Edidin, D. Fulghesu: The integral Chow ring of the stack of at most 1-nodal rational curves. Comm. in Alg. **36** (2008), no. 2, pp. 581-594.

[**Ed-Fu2**] D. Edidin, D. Fulghesu: The integral Chow ring of the stack of hyperelliptic curves of even genus; Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no.1, pp. 27-40.

[**Ed-Gr1**] D.Edidin, W.Graham: Equivariant intersection theory; Inv. Math. **131** (1998), pp. 595-634.

[**Ed-Gr2**] D. Edidin, W. Graham: Localization in equivariant intersection theory and the Bott residue formula. Amer. J. Math. **120** no. 3 (1998), pp. 619–636.

[**Fab**] Chow rings of moduli spaces of curves II: Some results on the Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_4$; Ann. of Math. **132**, (1990), 421–449

[**Ful**] W. Fulton: *Intersection theory.* Second Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[**Gil**] H. Gillet, Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and Q-varieties, J. Pure Appl. Alg., **34** (1984), 193–240.

[**Iza**] E. Izadi, The Chow ring of the moduli space of curves of genus 5; in The Moduli Space of Curves, R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer (eds), Progress in Math. 129, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.

[**Mum**] D. Mumford, Towards An Enumerative Geometry of the Moduli Space of Curves, Progress in Math., Vol. II (1983), pp. 271–328.

[**Ho-Ea**] M. Hochster, J. Eagon: Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci; Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), pp. 1020-1058.

[**Pan**] R. Pandharipande: Equivariant Chow rings of $O(k), SO(2k+1)$ and $SO(4)$; J. Reine Angew. Math. **496** (1998), pp. 131-148.

[**PV**] N. Penev and R. Vakil: The Chow ring of the moduli space of curves of genus six; Algebr. Geom. 2 (2015) **1**, 123–136.

[**Vis89**] A. Vistoli, Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces; Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 613–670.

[**Vis98**] A. Vistoli, *The Chow ring of* $\mathcal{M}_2$, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 635–644.

(Damiano Fulghesu) Department of Mathematics, Minnesota State University, 1104 7th Ave South, Moorhead, MN 56563, U.S.A.

E-mail address: fulghesu@mnstate.edu

(Angelo Vistoli) Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

E-mail address: angelo.vistoli@sns.it